

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Finn, Ian SOC SCI 2A LAB 2 (70022), Winter Qtr 2013

Responses: 12/21 (57.14%)

**ATTENDANCE**

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?
  - 0 Never
  - 0 Once
  - 0 2 - 3 times
  - 0 4 - 5 times
  - 0 6 - 7 times
  - 1 8 times
  - 11 More than 8 times
  
2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?
  - 9 Never
  - 0 Once
  - 1 2 - 3 times
  - 0 4 - 5 times
  - 0 6 - 7 times
  - 0 8 times
  - 2 More than 8 times

**PRESENTATION**

3. T.A. was competent in course material:
  - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
  - 0 1 (Among Worst)
  - 0 2
  - 0 3
  - 2 4 (OK or Average)
  - 1 5
  - 3 6
  - 6 7 (Among Best)
  
4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:
  - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
  - 0 1 (Among Worst)
  - 0 2
  - 0 3
  - 2 4 (OK or Average)
  - 1 5
  - 4 6
  - 5 7 (Among Best)
  
5. T.A. was responsive to students:
  - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
  - 0 1 (Among Worst)
  - 0 2
  - 0 3
  - 3 4 (OK or Average)
  - 0 5
  - 4 6
  - 5 7 (Among Best)

## UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Finn, Ian SOC SCI 2A LAB 2 (70022), Winter Qtr 2013

---

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 6 6
- 3 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 3 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 2 6
- 5 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 3 6
- 6 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 5 6
- 4 7 (Among Best)

## EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 1 Adequate
- 1 More than adequate
- 6 Very good
- 4 Excellent

## COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

## UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Finn, Ian SOC SCI 2A LAB 2 (70022), Winter Qtr 2013

---

- He clearly explained how to use SPSS. Before taking this class, I had no idea what this was and now I feel as if I were to explore a little on my own, I would actually know what I was doing.
  - He is strong on teaching theories.
  - helping us with the program
  - He paid a lot of attention to the students and went at a pace that was well suited for all of us. If we didn't understand something, he would go back and help us. He knew what he was talking about and always tried to shape the work so it was better for us, but still in a way that made us get our work done.
  - He really made sure that we understood everything.
  - He was really funny in his jokes, and I really liked the way he taught the materials.
  - He would slow down when asked to and was very clear in his instructions
  - N/A
  - Very informative, always waited for us to understand a topic before we moved on as well as answered each question as best he could during discussions.
  - 3 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- Better projection screen. The font was too tiny to see from the back of the room.
  - Go more slower when explaining new topics.
  - I have none.
  - N/A
  - Tell better jokes
  - Thanks for a great discussion Ian! I really enjoyed discussion and the way he presented the materials! He wasn't boring.
  - 6 blank answer(s).